Study of modifiable risk factors associated with learning abilities in MBBS students

BN Mishra[1], Priyanka Barjatya[2*], Naveen Kumar Singh Panwar[3], DS Chouhan[4], S C Mohapatra[5]

[1]Professor, [2,3]Post Graduate IInd Year, [4]Statistician, [5]Dean Academic and Professor, Dept. of Community Medicine, [1-4]R D Gardi Medical College, Ujjain, Madhya Pradesh, [5]SGT University Gurugram, Haryana, India

*Corresponding Author: Priyanka Barjatya
Email: barjatya12345@gmail.com

Abstract

Introduction: Healthy body is the basis for healthy mind and sound learning. Factors affecting physical health can influence one's learning abilities. BMI, neck girth**,** breakfast eating habits, resting blood pressures are surrogates of physical health; when they err different learning skills may go hayward.

Materials and Methods: A cross-sectional study was carried out on first year medical students in a medical college in western part of central India. 127 complete entries were evaluated by 'Metacognitive Awareness Inventory'. Obesity was assessed by BMI and Neck Circumference, and resting blood pressure measured by standing mercury sphygmomanometer. Breakfast eating behaviour was assessed by semi structured close-ended questionnaire. The data were coded and analysed by SPSS version 20. The level of significance was assigned at $p < 0.05$.

Results: On Pearson correlation analysis it was observed that metacognitive awareness inventory ($r = -0.191$, $p < 0.05$), regulation of cognition power ($r = -0.197$, $p < 0.05$), and information management strategy ($r = -0.347$, $p < 0.01$) were negatively co related with BMI. The breakfast eating behaviour also showed negative relationship with knowledge about cognition ($r = -0.191$, $p < 0.05$) and comprehension monitoring ($r = 0.180$, $p < 0.05$), whereas the blood pressure showed negative relationship with information management strategy ($r = -0.244$, $p < 0.01$).

Conclusion: Obesity favouring factors and increased BP correlated strongly with diminished metacognition power, whereas daily breakfast eating had strong relationship with improved knowledge about cognition and comprehension skills.

Introduction

Metacognition is the ability to examine how you process thoughts and feelings. This ability encourages students to understand how they learn best. It also helps them to develop self-awareness skills that become important as they get old.[1]

Any health condition whether 'physical or cognitive' has many manipulators. Metacognitive health of an individual is no exception. It is influenced by modifiable factors like breakfast eating habits, BMI, resting blood pressure and other obesogenic indicators.

A gap of about 10 to 12 hours between dinner and breakfast leads to low blood glucose levels and habitually missing breakfast act as an antagonistic factor that adversely affect cognitive performance.[2] Breakfast eaters tend to have higher basal metabolism, and have less craving for food which reduces the chances of obesity. A number of studies had reported that skipping breakfast lowers cognitive function and work efficiency.[3]

Overweight and obesity, as indicated by increased body mass index (BMI), has been found to be associated with a higher risk of cognitive decline. It is an important risk factor for vascular disease which can also influence executive function via the vascular pathway.[4]

The harmful effects of blood pressure have been long recognised (1960s). A study on psychomotor speed of air traffic controllers and pilots demonstrated reduced performance in individuals with hypertension[8]. Blood pressure is likely to underlie the association between obesity and cognition.[6] Both high and low blood pressure have been linked with cognitive decline and dementia. The person with high blood pressure has reduced abstract reasoning

(executive dysfunction), slowing of mental processing speed, and memory deficits.[7] Most of the vascular alterations induced by hypertension contribute to cognitive impairment by leading to hypoperfusion, ischemic and haemorrhagic stroke, and white matter injury.[10]

Considering above factors the present study was designed to assess the relation of metacognition with breakfast eating habits, obesogenic health indicators and resting blood pressure in 1st year MBBS students.

Hypothesis

It was hypothesized that learning through metacognitive process would most likely correlates to breakfast eating habit, obesity predisposing anthropometries and resting blood pressure in new entrants to medical college.

Aim and Objectives

To assess existence of any correlation between learning skills by 'metacognitive awareness inventory' and its subcomponents against variables like breakfast eating behaviour, obesity indicators, and resting blood pressure among first MBBS entrants.

Materials and Methods

A cross sectional study was conducted among I MBBS students from June to August 2019 after obtaining permission from the competent authorities. Participants were explained about all the procedural details of the study before asking for informed consent.

The study was conducted in two phases. In the first phase of the study metacognitive awareness inventory (MAI) questionnaire was handed over to the consenting participants and adequate time (25 minute) was assigned for completing it. Through MAI questionnaire different learning skills of the participants were evaluated. MAI does this by two major components namely; 'Knowledge about cognition', and 'Regulation of metacognition'. The 'knowledge about cognition' consists of statements on declarative knowledge, procedural knowledge, and conditional knowledge whereas the 'regulation of metacognition' covers a wide perspective involving planning or goal setting, organizing and managing

information, and monitoring that sharpens one's intellect.

In the second phase of study anthropometric information were collected. Body weight was measured by a portable weighing scale with a maximum recording capacity of 125 kg with a margin of error ± 100 gm. Individuals were asked to remove shoes and heavy clothes prior to weighing. Height was measured by stadiometer (SECA 213) on individuals without shoes on. BMI was calculated by the formula weight in kg/height in meter square. BMI guideline for Asian Indian populations was adopted. The BMI value of < 18.5 kg/m^2 was considered underweight, 18.5 - 22.9 kg/m^2 normal, 23.0-24.9 overweight, and >25 kg/m^2 as obese. Neck circumference (NC) was measured by a standard calibrated measuring tape just below the level of 'Adam's Apple'. The normal cut off value of NC for male was < 37 cm, and females < 34 cm. BP was measured on the left arm in a sitting position with the subject in a relaxed state by mercury sphygmomanometer (Diamond deluxe, BP apparatus, Pune, India) with the available pre-supplied small adult arm cuff size 22 x 11 cm. Two BP readings were taken five minutes apart and the average calculated to estimate the final blood pressure. JNC -8 guidelines was used for interpretation of BP recording.

Statistical analysis

Data entry and statistical analysis were done using SPSS v 20. The results were explained as descriptive and inferential analysis.

Results

There were 127 study participants consisting of 69 males and 58 females with a mean age of 19.78(± 1.076) years. The means and standard deviations for BMI was 21.46 kg/m^2(±3.82), neck girth was 32.95 cm(± 4.07), systolic BP was 123.25 (± 12.94) mm Hg, diastolic BP at 78.03(± 7.84) mm Hg, Metacognitive awareness inventory score at 40.31(±5.824), knowledge about cognition at 12.91(±2.29), and regulation of cognition was 27.41(±4.43). Mean scores of subsections of Knowledge about cognition like: Procedural knowledge, Declarative knowledge, Conditional knowledge was 3.17(±0.83), 5.71(±1.48) and 4.03(±0.98) respectively. Similarly mean scores

and standard deviations of subsections of Regulation of Cognition like Information Management Strategies, debugging strategies, Planning, Comprehension monitoring, and Evaluation were 8.35(±1.45), 4.39(±7.92), 5.14(±3.96), and 4.33(±1.39) respectively. The overall reliability of metacognitive awareness inventory was 0.752 (Cronbach's alpha).

14.9% of participants were obese and 17.3% over weight as per adopted BMI criteria. Employing neck girth for detection of obesity 15.7% was found to be obese. A high percentage i.e. 83(65.54%) of participants were pre hypertensive and 18(16.5%) had stage I HTN. Most subjects 83(65.3%) were daily breakfast eaters. The descriptive details of explorative variables with respect to age and gender are presented in Table 1.

Table 1: Descriptive analysis of explorative variables with regards to age and gender

| | | Age < 20 years | | | | Age >20 years | | | | Total count | Total count N% |
| | | Female | | Male | | Female | | Male | | | |
		Count	Table N %	Count	Table N %	Count	Table N %	Count	Table N %		
BMI	Underweight	5	3.9%	9	7.1%	8	6.3%	5	3.9%	27	21.20%
	Normal	16	12.6%	11	8.7%	12	9.4%	20	15.7%	59	46.40%
	Overweight	2	1.6%	5	3.9%	4	3.1%	8	6.3%	19	14.90%
	Obese	4	3.1%	3	2.4%	7	5.5%	8	6.3%	22	17.30%
Neck girth	Normal	25	19.7%	21	16.5%	25	19.7%	23	18.1%	94	74.00%
	Obese	2	1.6%	7	5.5%	6	4.7%	18	14.2%	33	15.70%
BP	Normal	7	5.5%	7	5.5%	4	3.1%	7	5.5%	25	19.60%
	Pre HTN	17	13.4%	14	11.0%	26	20.5%	26	20.5%	83	65.40%
	Stage I	3	2.4%	7	5.5%	1	0.8%	7	5.5%	18	16.50%
	Stage II	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	0.8%	1	0.80%
Breakfast eating habits	Daily	16	12.6%	13	10.2%	24	18.9%	30	23.6%	83	65.30%
	Twice a week	6	4.7%	7	5.5%	3	2.4%	6	4.7%	22	17.30%
	Thrice a week	5	3.9%	8	6.3%	4	3.1%	5	3.9%	22	17.20%

On exploratory analysis by Pearson's correlation; substantial inter relationship between studied / modifiable factors was observed there by validating the construct selection. This is presented in Table 2.

Table 2: Pearson's correlation between modifiable and influencing variables

Independent study variables	Gender	Age	BMI	Systolic BP	Diastolic BP	Neck girth	Breakfast frequency
Gender	1	.060	.023	.207[*]	.125	.636[**]	.064
		.503	.797	.020	.161	.000	.472
Age	.060	1	.138	.055	.077	.194[*]	-.214[*]
	.503		.121	.537	.391	.029	.015
BMI	.023	.138	1	.226[*]	.217[*]	.387[**]	-.059
	.797	.121		.011	.014	.000	.510
Systolic BP	.207[*]	.055	.226[*]	1	.645[**]	.192[*]	.012
	.020	.537	.011		.000	.030	.892
Diastolic BP	.125	.077	.217[*]	.645[**]	1	.092	-.005
	.161	.391	.014	.000		.304	.953
Neck girth	.636[**]	.194[*]	.387[**]	.192[*]	.092	1	.030

	.000	.029	.000	.030	.304		.734
Breakfast frequency	.064	-.214*	-.059	.012	-.005	.030	1
	.472	.015	.510	.892	.953	.734	

*correlation is significant at the 0.05 level (2-tailed); ** correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed)

Similarly the MCAI demonstrated strong to very strong correlations for its constructs their by lending support for internal validly of the instrument. This is depicted in table number 3.

Table 3: Pearson's correlation between metacognitive awareness inventory, its scales and sub scales

	Metacognitive awareness score	Knowledge about cognition	Procedural knowledge	Declarative knowledge	Conditional knowledge	Regulation of cognition	Information management strategy	Debugging strategy	Planning	Comprehension monitoring	Evaluation
Metacognitive awareness inventory score	1	.727**	.409**	.534**	.546**	.935**	.546**	.524**	.705**	.728**	.676**
		.000	.000	.000	.000	.000	.000	.000	.000	.000	.000
Knowledge about cognition	.727**	1	.581**	.805**	.628**	.428**	.165	.242**	.371**	.385**	.324**
	.000		.000	.000	.000	.000	.063	.006	.000	.000	.000
Procedural knowledge	.409**	.581**	1	.207*	.197*	.236**	.142	.056	.123	.253**	.198*
	.000	.000		.020	.026	.008	.112	.529	.168	.004	.026
Declarative knowledge	.534**	.805**	.207*	1	.197*	.275**	.082	.205*	.212*	.234**	.254**
	.000	.000	.020		.026	.002	.361	.021	.017	.008	.004
Conditional knowledge	.546**	.628**	.197*	.197*	1	.380**	.142	.206*	.442**	.331**	.206*
	.000	.000	.026	.026		.000	.110	.020	.000	.000	.020
Regulation of cognition	.935**	.428**	.236**	.275**	.380**	1	.639**	.562**	.731**	.753**	.717**
	.000	.000	.008	.002	.000		.000	.000	.000	.000	.000
Information management strategy	.546**	.165	.142	.082	.142	.639**	1	.290**	.312**	.316**	.173
	.000	.063	.112	.361	.110	.000		.001	.000	.000	.052
Debugging strategy	.524**	.242**	.056	.205*	.206*	.562**	.290**	1	.334**	.246**	.338**
	.000	.006	.529	.021	.020	.000	.001		.000	.005	.000
Planning	.705**	.371**	.123	.212*	.442**	.731**	.312**	.334**	1	.418**	.399**
	.000	.000	.168	.017	.000	.000	.000	.000		.000	.000
Comprehension monitoring	.728**	.385**	.253**	.234**	.331**	.753**	.316**	.246**	.418**	1	.517**
	.000	.000	.004	.008	.000	.000	.000	.005	.000		.000
Evaluation	.676**	.324**	.198*	.254**	.206*	.717**	.173	.338**	.399**	.517**	1
	.000	.000	.026	.004	.020	.000	.052	.000	.000	.000	

*correlation is significant at the 0.05 level (2-tailed); ** correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

After establishing the validity and reliability (α =0.752, for MCAI and 0.552 for modifiable independent variables) of the tools their inter relationship was studied. On Pearson's correlation scale, an increase in BMI had negative effect on the above all 'metacognition power' (r= -0.191*) along with 'power for regulation of cognition' (r = -0.197*), and 'information management strategy' (r = -0.347**). Similar results were observed with respect to systolic blood pressure and 'information managing power' (r = -0.244**). Breakfast skippers also showed

lower scores in 'knowledge about cognition'(r= -0.191*) and 'comprehension monitoring subscales'(r= -0.180*) in contrast to regular breakfast eaters. Table number 4 presents the detailed exploratory analysis.

Table 4: Relationship between MCAI scales and exploratory variables

	Gender	Age	BMI	Neck Girth	Systolic BP	Diastolic BP	Breakfast eating Habit
Metacognitive awareness inventory score total	-.054	.072	-.191*	-.102	-.166	-.009	-.165
	.548	.421	.032	.252	.062	.916	.064
Knowledge about cognition	-.114	.069	-.071	-.074	-.149	.057	-.191*
	.202	.443	.425	.406	.096	.521	.032
Regulation of cognition	-.022	.043	-.197*	-.085	-.153	-.040	-.101
	.803	.629	.027	.345	.088	.658	.260
Information management strategies	-.005	.005	-.347**	-.158	-.244**	-.110	-.094
	.956	.958	.000	.075	.006	.219	.291
Comprehension	-.057	.054	-.061	-.077	-.088	-.022	-.180*
	.522	.544	.495	.392	.326	.810	.043

*correlation is significant at the 0.05 level (2-tailed); ** correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

Discussion

Review of literature exploring the present hypothesis had a low yield. Therefore present discussion has taken into account relevant studies exploring the hypothesized variables employing relating tools like 'PGI memory scale'[11], 'P300 evoked potentials'[12], 'the Kaplan–Albert Neuropsychological Test Battery'[5],'Visual Reproduction Immediate and Delayed Recall tests'[9], and 'Mini-Mental State Examination (MMSE).'[6]

Marwa Mohammed Yousif et al in Sudan observed significant relationship between eating behaviour and BMI.[13] Similar positive association between dietary adherence, BMI and blood pressure in an urban black population in South Africa was also found by other researcher.[14] It is no secret that obesity is an important correlate of hypertension,[15,16] and the prevalence of hypertension increases with rising BMI.[17,18] The correlations among the independent/ exploratory variables in the present study did toe the line established by previous researchers as mentioned above (Table 2).

Awareness of metacognitive knowledge empowers learners by giving them an understanding of how they think. It further helps them to reflect on their strengths and weaknesses as learners and guides them toward remedial measures. Schraw and Dennison (1994) developed the Metacognitive Awareness Inventory (MAI) to assess metacognitive knowledge and metacognitive regulation which they referred to as the knowledge of cognition factor and the regulation of cognition factor. The MAI consists of 52 questions tapping into these two components of metacognition. They found that there was strong support for the knowledge of cognition and regulation of cognition components and that these two components were related.[19] The present study also demonstrated strong to very strong co relationship among the different constructs of the adopted MCAI toll (Table 3).

Having established the fact that the MCAI and its sub sections, and the independent variables demonstrated intra group correlation; an attempt was made to find any correlations between the dependant and independent variables. It was found that participants with higher BMI scored poorly in metacognitive awareness inventory score (r= -0.191*), regulation of cognition (r= -0.197*), and information management strategy (r= -0.347**) that may implicate obese participants might be poor learners, and less equipped in handling day to day situation. Framingham Heart Study had shown that obesity is associated with accelerated cognitive decline in aging men.[14] A study conducted in southern Ethiopia had

demonstrated similar observations where adolescents with normal BMI had good learning potential.[3]

Participants who had high systolic blood pressure had relatively less skills in processing information strategies (r= -0.244**) as compared to those with normal systolic blood pressure. Study on relationship between blood pressure and cognitive function showed that both hypertension and hypotension affect brain perfusion and worsen cognitive outcome.[9]

Breakfast eating frequency showed negative correlation with knowledge about cognition and comprehension (r= -0.191*, -0.180* respectively) i.e. less frequent eaters had poor cognitive ability. A study conducted on perceptual development of adolescents in relation to nutritional status with the help of picture ambiguity test (PAT) reported that there was a significant difference in the performance of well-nourished and undernourished adolescents during this test (PAT).[13] Another study, conducted on school children reported that skipping breakfast can have adverse effects on cognitive performance.[14] Alternatively, breakfast skippers run the risk of becoming malnourished which had been linked to delayed cognitive development.[3] All these observations lend support to our hypothesis.

Conclusion

Metacognitive awareness inventory is a well-documented and scientifically proven comprehensive tool to assess the intellectual ability of a person. This Study was a first timer where MCAI was explored in terms of common modifiable factors in day today life of medical students. Inculcation of healthy life style can be a profound booster to learning abilities. Healthy eating, maintain normal BMI and blood pressure can put a professional student on an advantageous platform.

Strength and weakness

MCAI was found to have high construct validity and internal consistency in the present context. Conduction of study in a systematic and phased manner with effective time capping was able to minimize respondent / information bias. A follow up study over subsequent years and association with their academic performance can further add on to the present evidence.

Ethics clearance

The study received IEC clearance via, IEC approval letter No. 69/2019.

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

References

1. Hong WH, Vadivelu J, Daniel ES, Sim JH. Thinking about thinking: changes in first-year medical. *Med Education Online* 2015;79(1):1-8.
2. Spence C. Breakfast: The most important meal of the day?. *Int J Gastronomy Food Sci* 2017;8(1):1-6.
3. Adole AA, Singh P, Bosha T, Desalegn BB. Effect of Breakfast Eating Patterns and Anthropometric Measurements on Cognitive Function of Early Adolescents in Rural Area of Sidama Zone, Southern Ethiopia. Journal of Food and Nutrition Sciences. 2015;3(6):251-258.
4. Wang C, Chan JS, Ren L, Yan JH. Obesity reduces cognitive and motor functions across the lifespan. Neural plasticity. 2016;http://dx.doi.org/10.1155/2016/2473081 accessed on 20-11-2019:1-13 .
5. Elias MF, Elias PK, Sullivan LM, Wolf PA, D'agostino RB. Lower cognitive function in the presence of obesity and hypertension: the Framingham heart study. *Int J Obes* 2003;27(2):260-8.
6. Sabia S, Kivimaki M, Shipley MJ, Marmot MG, Singh-Manoux A. Body mass index over the adult life course and cognition in late midlife: the Whitehall II Cohort Study. *Am J Clin Nutr* 2008;89(2):601-7.
7. Gąsecki D, Kwarciany M, Nyka W, Narkiewicz K. Hypertension, brain damage and cognitive decline. *Curr Hypertens Rep* 2013;15(6):547-58.
8. Elias MF, Goodell AL, Dore GA. Hypertension and cognitive functioning: a perspective in historical context. *Hypertens* 2012;60(2):260-8.
9. Pachucki MA. Food pattern analysis over time: unhealthful eating trajectories predict obesity. *Int J Obes* 2012;36(5):686-94.

10. Iadecola C, Yaffe K, Biller J, Bratzke LC, Faraci FM, Gorelick PB et al, Impact of hypertension on cognitive function: a scientific statement from the American Heart Association. *Hypertens* 2016;68(6):67-94.

11. Gajre NS, Fernandez S, Balakrishna N, Vazir S. Breakfast eating habit and its influence on attention-concentration, immediate memory and school achievement. *Indian Pediatr* 2008;45(10):824-28.

12. Agarwal S, Bhalla P, Kaur S, Babbar R. Effect of body mass index on physical self-concept, cognition & academic performance of first year medical students. *Indian J Med Res* 2013;138(4):515-22.

13. Yousif MM, Kaddam LA. & Humeda HS. Correlation between physical activity, eating behavior and obesity among Sudanese medical students Sudan. *BMC Nutr* 2019;5(6). doi:10.1186/s40795-019-0271-1.

14. Solomons N, Kruger HS, Puoane T. Association between dietary adherence, anthropometric measurements and blood pressure in an urban black population, South Africa. *South Afr J Clin Nutr* 2018:1-9.

15. Kuwabara M, Kuwabara R, Niwa K, Hisatome I, Smits G, Roncal-Jimenez C et al, Different risk for hypertension, diabetes, dyslipidemia, and hyperuricemia according to level of body mass index in Japanese and American subjects. *Nutr* 2018;10(8):1-11.

16. Kapetanakis VV, Rudnicka AR, Wathern AK, Lennon L, Papacosta O, Cook DG et al, Adiposity in early, middle and later adult life and cardiometabolic risk markers in later life; findings from the British regional heart study. *PLoS One* 2014;9(12):1-17.

17. Lee CY, Lin WT, Tsai S, Hung YC, Wu PW, Yang YC et al, Association of parental overweight and cardiometabolic diseases and pediatric adiposity and lifestyle factors with cardiovascular risk factor clustering in adolescents. *Nutr* 2016;8(9):567:1-14.

18. Crawford AG, Cote C, Couto J, Daskiran M, Gunnarsson C, Haas K et al, Prevalence of obesity, type II diabetes mellitus, hyperlipidemia, and hypertension in the United States: findings from the GE Centricity Electronic Medical Record database. *Popul Health Manag* 2010;13(3):151-61.

19. Schraw G, Dennison RS. Assessing metacognitive awareness. *Contemp Educ Psychol* 1994;19(4):460-75.

20. Mahmoud MH, Taha AS. The association between eating habits and body mass index among nursing students. *IOSR J Nurs Health Sci* (IOSR-JNHS). 2017;6(3):14-26.

21. MacMahon S, Peto R, Collins R, Godwin J, Cutler J, Sorlie P et al, Blood pressure, stroke, and coronary heart disease: part 1, prolonged differences in blood pressure: prospective observational studies corrected for the regression dilution bias. *Lancet* 1990;335(8692):765-74.

22. Jellinger KA, Attems J. Prevalence of dementia disorders in the oldest-old: an autopsy study. *Acta Neuro Pathologica* 2010;119(4):421-33

23. Nelson PT, Head E, Schmitt FA, Davis PR, Neltner JH, Jicha GA et al, Alzheimer's disease is not "brain aging": neuropathological, genetic, and epidemiological human studies. *Acta Neuropathologica* 2011;121(5):571-87.

24. Barodka VM, Joshi BL, Berkowitz DE, Hogue Jr CW, Nyhan D. Implications of vascular aging. *Anesth Analgesia* 2011;112(5):1048-68.

25. Chhabra P, Grover VL, Aggarwal K, Kanan AT. Nutritional status and blood pressure of medical students in Delhi. *Indian J Community Med* 2006;31(4):248-51.

26. Bellisle F. Effects of diet on behaviour and cognition in children. *Br J Nutr* 2004;92(S2):227-32.

27. Upadhyay SK, Singh TB, Srivastava A, Bhatia BD. Perceptual development in relation to nutritional status. *Indian J Paediatr* 2001;68(4):327-32.